

Title	On the representation of numbers as the sum of a prime and a k-th power.
Author(s)	KAWADA, Koichi
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1993), 837: 92-100
Issue Date	1993-05
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83493">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83493</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

On the representation of numbers

as the sum of a prime and a  $k$ -th power.

by Koichi KAWADA (University of Tsukuba).

川田 浩一 (筑波大学大学院)

# 1. Introduction.

Let  $k \geq 2$  be a fixed integer, and, for a natural number  $n$ , let  $r_k(n)$  be the number of representations of  $n$  as the sum of a prime and a  $k$ -th power.

In the case  $k=2$ , Hardy and Littlewood [3] conjectured that, unless  $n$  is a square,

$$(1) \quad r_2(n) \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)}{p-1}\right),$$

where  $p$  denotes prime numbers, and  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$  denotes the Legendre symbol. In 1968, Mielch [5] showed that the above asymptotic formula (1) is valid for almost all  $n$ . More precisely, he proved that

$$r_2(n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)}{p-1}\right) \cdot \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)\right\},$$

for all but  $O(N(\log N)^{-A})$  natural numbers  $n \leq N$  with any  $A > 0$ . It seems impossible, for the present, to improve Mielch's result because of the possible existence of the Siegel zeros.

On the other hand, to show that  $n$  is representable as the sum of a prime and a square, we need only a positive lower bound for  $r_2(n)$ , and which was obtained with less exceptional  $n$ 's. A.I. Vinogradov [8] and Brünner, Perelli and Pintz [1]

proved that there exist a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $r_2(n) > 0$  for  $n \leq N$  with at most  $O(N^{1-\delta})$  exceptions.

Proofs of these result for the case  $k=2$  are based on the circle method, and most part of the proofs in [5] and [1] still work for the case  $k > 2$ . Essential difference between the cases  $k=2$  and  $k > 2$  occurs in the treatment of the sum called "singular series". So we investigate the "singular series" for the general case  $k \geq 2$ .

We denote by  $\rho_n(d)$  the number of solutions of the congruence

$$x^k - n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

Then the singular series for our problem is the sum of the form;

$$\mathcal{G}(n, M) = \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{\mu(m)}{\varphi(m)} \prod_{p|m} (\rho_n(p) - 1),$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$  denote the Möbius function and Euler's totient function, respectively. It is proved that, for almost all  $n$ , the sum  $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$  is approximated by the finite product of the form  $\prod_{p \leq M} (1 - \frac{\rho_n(p) - 1}{p-1})$ , and then a good positive lower bound for  $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$  is obtained. This is essentially due to Plaksin[6]. This work with the argument in [1] yields the corresponding result for  $k \geq 3$  of [1] and [8], namely, there exist a positive constant  $\delta$  depending only on  $k$  such that  $r_k(n) > 0$  for all  $n \leq N$  with at most  $O(N^{1-\delta})$  exceptions.

Next, we consider the corresponding result for  $k \geq 3$  of Miecz's result [5]. We define the set

$$E_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} ; \text{ the polynomial } x^k - n \text{ is irreducible in } \mathbb{Q}[x]\}.$$

Then, instead of (1), we can expect that, for  $n \in E_k$ ,

$$r_k(n) \sim \frac{n^{1/k}}{\log n} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p_n(p)-1}{p-1}\right).$$

And our result is

THEOREM. For  $k \geq 3$  and for any  $A > 0$ , we have

$$r_k(n) = \frac{n^{1/k}}{\log n} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p_n(p)-1}{p-1}\right) \cdot \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)\right\},$$

for all  $n \leq N$  with at most  $O(N(\log N)^{-A})$  exceptions.

In order to prove this, we need more precise treatment for the singular series  $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$  than Plaksin's way [6]. The rest of this article outlines the main features of our proof of the result. As for the details, refer to [4].

## 2. Treatment of the singular series.

Let  $N$  be a sufficiently large real number. By a standard application of the circle method, it follows that

$$(2) \quad r_k(n) = \mathcal{G}(n, (\log N)^B) \cdot \left\{ \frac{n^{1/k}}{\log n} + O\left(\frac{n^{1/k} \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}\right) \right\} + O(N^{1/k} (\log N)^{-A'}),$$

for all but  $O(N(\log N)^{-A})$  natural numbers  $n \leq N$ , where  $A$  and  $A'$  are arbitrary positive constants, and  $B$  is a positive constant depending on  $A$ ,  $A'$  and  $k$ . On the proof of this fact, there is no essential difference between the cases  $k=2$  and  $k>2$ .

Making use of the inequality (8) below, we see easily that

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{G}(n, (\log N)^B) = \mathcal{G}(n, \sqrt{N}) + O((\log N)^{-A'}),$$

for all  $n \leq N$  with at most  $O(N(\log N)^{-A})$  exceptions. And we proceed to investigate  $\mathcal{G}(n, \sqrt{N})$ . We start with applying Perron's

formula. As usual, let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable. We introduce the function

$$Z_n(s) = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_n(p)-1}{p^{s-1}(p-1)} \right),$$

for  $\sigma > 1$ . And we put  $b = \frac{1}{\log N}$  and  $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log N})$ . Then we have routinely

$$(5) \mathcal{G}(n, \sqrt{N}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} Z_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{N}^{s-1}}{s-1} ds + (\text{Admissible remainder}),$$

for  $n \leq N$ . So we need some information about  $Z_n(s)$  near the line  $\sigma = 1$ .

On the other hand, let  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta_n(s)$  be the Riemann zeta function and the Dedekind zeta function of the field  $\mathbb{Q}(n^{1/k})$ , respectively. The Euler product for  $\zeta_n(s)$  is written as

$$\zeta_n(s) = \prod_p \prod_{1 \leq f \leq k} (1 - p^{-fs})^{-a_n(f,p)},$$

where  $a_n(f,p)$  is the number of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(n^{1/k})$  such that the norm of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is  $p^f$ . By a known fact about  $a_n(f,p)$ 's, we see

$$a_n(1,p) = \rho_n(p),$$

providing  $n \in E_k$  and  $p \nmid kn$ . Then the Euler product for  $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta_n(s)} &= \prod_p (1-p^{-s})^{-1+\rho_n(p)} \cdot \prod_p \prod_{2 \leq f \leq k} (1-p^{-fs})^{a_n(f,p)} \cdot \prod_{p \nmid kn} (1-p^{-s})^{a_n(1,p)-\rho_n(p)} \\ (6) \quad &= \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_n(p)-1}{p^s} \right) \cdot \prod_p \left\{ (1-p^{-s})^{-1+\rho_n(p)} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_n(p)-1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_p \prod_{2 \leq f \leq k} (1-p^{-fs})^{a_n(f,p)} \cdot \prod_{p \nmid kn} (1-p^{-s})^{a_n(1,p)-\rho_n(p)} \\ &= Z_n(s) \xi_n(s) \Xi_n(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_n(s) = \prod_p \left\{ (1-p^{-s})^{-1+\rho_n(p)} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_n(p)-1}{p^{s-1}(p-1)}\right)^{-1} \right\} \cdot \prod_p \prod_{2 \leq f \leq k} (1-p^{-fs})^{a_n(f,p)},$$

and

$$\Xi_n(s) = \prod_{p|kn} (1-p^{-s})^{a_n(1,p)-\rho_n(p)}.$$

We note here that  $\Xi_n(s)$  is written as the finite product, and that  $\zeta_n(s)$  is treated easily near the line  $\sigma=1$ . Hence, in view of (6), we regard, essentially,  $Z_n(s)$  as  $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$ .

In our case,  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$  is an entire function, which was due to Uchida [7] and van der Waall [9] (independently). Therefore, if  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$  has no zero near the line  $\sigma=1$ , then  $\zeta(s)/\zeta_n(s)$  is analytic near  $\sigma=1$ , and so is  $Z_n(s)$ . Then, using Hadamard's three circle theorem, we have a good estimate for  $Z_n(s)$  near  $\sigma=1$ , and, by (5), we get, with a suitable constant  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(n, \sqrt{N}) &= Z_n(1) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{b-iT}^{1-\eta-iT} + \int_{1-\eta-iT}^{1-\eta+iT} + \int_{1-\eta+iT}^{b+iT} \right) Z_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{N}^{s-1}}{s-1} ds + \\ &\quad + (\text{Admissible remainder}) \\ &= Z_n(1) + (\text{Admissible remainder}). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we obtain the following Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mathcal{N}(n; \alpha, T)$  be the number of zeros of  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$  in the region  $\sigma \geq \alpha$  and  $|t| \leq T$ . Assume that  $n \leq N$ ,  $n \in E_k$  and  $\mathcal{N}(n; 1-\delta, \exp(\sqrt{\log N})) = 0$  with some positive constant  $\delta$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{O}(n, \sqrt{N}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho_n(p)-1}{p-1}\right) + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log N}\right)\right).$$

## 3. Zero density estimate.

We see plainly that the number of  $n$ 's such that  $n \leq N$  and  $n \in E_k$  is  $O(\sqrt{N})$ . So, in view of (2), (3) and Lemma 1, in order to prove our theorem, it suffices to show that there are positive constants  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  such that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in E_k}} N(n; 1-\delta, \exp(\sqrt{\log N})) \ll N^{1-\delta'}$$

In other words, we need a zero density estimate for  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$ 's. We note that, for the case  $k=2$ , the function  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$  is the Dirichlet L-function for a certain real primitive character, if  $n$  is not a square. And, in [5], Misch used Bombieri's zero density theorem for L-functions proved in 1965. We see here the most important difference between  $k=2$  and  $k>2$ .

The inequality (7) follows at once from the following Lemma 2. Therefore, our proof of the theorem is completed by justifying Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2. For a natural number  $r$ , we put  $\sigma_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$ . We suppose  $\sigma_1 \geq \frac{\log(k-1)}{\log(k+1)}$ ,  $T \geq 1$  and

$$(NT)^{(r+1)(k-1)(3-2\sigma_1)} \leq N^{r(r-1)}$$

Then we have, for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \in E_k}} N(n; \sigma, T) \ll (NT)^{1 - \frac{\sigma - \sigma_1}{3 - \sigma - \sigma_1} + \varepsilon},$$

with any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Remark. In application of Lemma 2 to show (7), we take  $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log N})$  and  $r = k+1$ .

It is well known that zero density estimates for Dirichlet

L-functions is obtained from the large sieve inequality, namely,

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{m=M_0+1}^{M_0+M} a_m \chi(m) \right|^2 \ll (Q^2 + M) \sum_{m=M_0+1}^{M_0+M} |a_m|^2,$$

where  $\sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^*$  indicates the summation over all primitive characters (mod  $q$ ).

We should prepare the inequalities which work in our zero density estimate instead of the large sieve inequality. Now we put  $\beta_n(m) = \mu(m)^2 \prod_{p|m} (\varrho_n(p) - 1)$ . As we see in the preceding section, we can regard, essentially,  $\zeta_n(s)/\zeta(s)$  as

$$\prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{\varrho_n(p) - 1}{p^s} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(m) m^{-s},$$

for  $\sigma > 1$ , because of (6). So we consider how to estimate the sum of the form;

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2.$$

For a square-free natural number  $m$ , we define the sets  $C_m$  and  $C_m^*$  of Dirichlet characters (mod  $m$ ) as follows;

$$C_m = \{ \chi \pmod{m}; \chi^k = \chi_{0,m} \text{ and } \chi \neq \chi_{0,m} \},$$

$$C_m^* = \{ \chi \in C_m; \chi \text{ is primitive.} \},$$

where  $\chi_{0,m}$  denotes the principal character (mod  $m$ ). As is mentioned in [6], we find easily the relation

$$\beta_n(m) = \sum_{\chi \in C_m^*} \chi(n)$$

for any square-free  $m$ . Making use of this fact, we get

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2 = \sum_{m_1 \leq M} \sum_{m_2 \leq M} a_{m_1} \overline{a_{m_2}} \sum_{\chi_1 \in C_{m_1}^*} \sum_{\chi_2 \in C_{m_2}^*} \sum_{n \leq N} \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}(n),$$

and, by the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, we have



$$(8) \sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2 \ll (N + M^2 \log M) \sum_{m \leq M} \mu(m)^2 \tau_k(m)^2 |a_m|^2,$$

where  $\tau_k(m)$  is the number of the factorizations of  $m$  into  $k$  positive numbers.

We see that the inequality (8) gives only a trivial bound when  $M > N$ . In this case, we need, instead of the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, a non-trivial bound for the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq M \\ \mu(m)^2 = 1}} \sum_{\chi \in C_m} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) \right|.$$

We estimate this sum by the method indicated in [2], and obtain that the quantity of the sum is

$$\ll N^{1 - \frac{1}{r+1} + \varepsilon} M,$$

where  $r$  is a natural number satisfying  $M^{r+1} \leq N^{r(r-1)}$ . Applying this estimate, we have

$$(9) \sum_{n \leq N} \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m \beta_n(m) \right|^2 \ll N \sum_{m \leq M} \tau_k(m) |a_m|^2 + N^{1 - \frac{1}{r+1} + \varepsilon} \max_{M_1 \leq M} (M_1 \max_{M_1 < m \leq 2M_1} |a_m|)^2,$$

where  $r$  is a natural number satisfying  $M^{2(r+1)} \leq N^{r(r-1)}$ .

Then our Lemma 2 is derived by the standard method in the study of zero density for L-functions, using the inequalities (8) and (9) instead of the large sieve inequality.

## References

- [1] Brünner, R., Perelli, A. and Pintz, J.; The exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a square., Acta Math. Hungar. 53 (1989), 347-365.

[2] Elliott, P.D.T.A.; The distribution of primitive roots.,  
Canad. J. Math. 21(2) (1969), 822-841.

[3] Hardy, G.H. and Littlewood, J.E.; Some problem of 'partitio  
numerosum' (III)., Acta Math. 44 (1923), 1-70.

[4] Kawada, K.; On the sum of a prime and a  $k$ -th power.,  
preprint.

[5] Miech, R.J.; On the equation  $n=p+x^2$ ., Trans. Amer. Math.  
Soc. 130 (1968), 494-512.

[6] Plaksin, V.A.; On a question of Hua Loo-Keng. (Russian),  
Mat. Zametki 47(3) (1990), 78-90. Engl. transl.: Math. Notes  
47(3/4) (1990), 278-286.

[7] Uchida, K.; On Artin L-functions., Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 27  
(1975), 75-81.

[8] Vinogradov, A.I.; On a binary additive problem of Hardy-  
Littlewood. (Russian), Acta Arith. XLVI (1985), 33-56.

[9] van der Waall, R.W.; On a conjecture of Dedekind on zeta  
functions., Indag. Math. 37 (1975), 83-86.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,  
Tsukuba-city, Ibaraki Pref., 305 Japan.

(筑波大学大学院博士課程数学研究科数学専攻)